



## Foreign investment NON and EC condition-mandated Audit Reports | Submitting audit documentation

## Submitting Audit Proposals and Audit Reports

The checklist below lists the Treasury's current expectations on certain content (including information and assurance practitioner declarations) that should be included in an Audit Proposal submitted for our consideration (which upon approval, establishes the requirements for the assurance engagement and Audit Report).

Further explanatory information and guidance has also been included below to provide further clarity on the Treasury's expectations of Audit Proposals and subsequent reports (denoted by ►).

Auditor identification, expertise, and independence		
Nomination of	☐ Identity of proposed audit firm (assurance firm)	
firm and team	☐ Identity of proposed audit team members, including:	
	☐ Lead assurance practitioner and all assurance team members (the 'assurance team')	
	☐ Any additional relevant expert(s) to be engaged	
	▶ Where necessary to ensure the engagement has access to relevant expertise that is otherwise not	
	possessed by the assurance practitioner or team members (e.g. data and/or cyber security), the	
	assurance team may be supplemented by experts with the applicable technical skills	
Details of audit	☐ Details of the expertise and experience of the proposed audit team, including individuals':	
team's expertise	$\square$ auditing and assurance skills, including an understanding of governance and regulatory issues	
	☐ subject matter expertise	
	☐ specific technical skills (for example, specialist IT and/or cyber security audit skills)	
	☐ experience delivering audits of regulated entities in similar industries	
	▶ The Treasury will examine the skills and experience of the proposed audit team, to determine whether	
	they possess the expertise necessary to deliver the assurance engagement to the requisite standard.	
Details of audit	☐ Declaration from the lead assurance practitioner that the audit firm and all members of the audit team meet and	
firm and team	will adhere to the ethical requirements of the Standard on Assurance Engagements ASAE 3000 and the quality control	
independence	requirements of ASQC1 in relation to the engagement	
and quality	► <u>Example</u> : As the lead assurance practitioner, I certify that all assurance practitioners identified as part	
control	of the audit team:	
	- can and will apply the ASAE 3000 (Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of	
	Historical Financial Information) requirement to comply with relevant ethical requirements related to the assurance engagement	
	- are a member/members of a firm that is subject to ASQC 1 (Quality Control for Firms that Perform	
	Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, and Other Assurance	
	Engagements)	
	☐ Declaration from the lead assurance practitioner and each member of the audit team that they have not been engaged	
	by the investor(s) to conduct assurance services for a continuous period of longer than 5 years.	
	Declaration from the lead assurance practitioner and each member of the audit team that they do not hold any	
	personal interests in the investor(s) or related entities or provided other services to the investor(s) or related entities	
	which could be perceived as a conflict of interest.	
Audit engagement		
Statement of	☐ Statement that the engagement will be delivered in accordance with the Standard on Assurance Engagements ASAE	
Assurance	3100	
Standard		
Statement of	☐ Statement that the engagement will be delivered to a <b>reasonable assurance</b> level	
assurance level Details of	Chatana ant as to substitute a second antissis by a discretion of a second and	
engagement type	☐ Statement as to whether the engagement will be a <b>direct or attestation</b> engagement	
engagement type	Both direct and attestation engagements are acceptable	

Details of Audit	☐ Details of:
scope (per the	☐ the NON/EC reference (FI20XX/XXXXX) subject to the assurance engagement
NON/EC)	$\Box$ the entity(ies) subject to the assurance engagement (that the assurance opinion(s) will be provided in
	relation to)
	► This must match the entity(ies) that are required to comply with the audited conditions (e.g. where a NON or EC says 'The Applicant must' the audit is to be of the <u>Applicant's</u> compliance with that condition (and not another entity such as the investment target)
	☐ the audit period
	▶ Note that the audit reporting conditions require an audit of compliance over a period (as set by the NON or EC). 'Point in time' Audit Reports will not be acceptable to the Treasury
	☐ the in-scope subject matter, including:
	$\square$ all audited conditions (by number, consistent with the NON)
	☐ an assessment of any remedial work plans in place to address non-compliance identified in previous Audit Reports (if applicable – see below)
Declaration of depth of audit procedures and testing	☐ A statement that the audit procedures and testing that will be performed will include evaluation of both the design and operating effectiveness of processes, systems, controls, and procedures (as appropriate)
Details of content t	hat will be included in the Audit Report
Undertaking as to	☐ An undertaking from the assurance practitioner that the Audit Report will include details of:
Audit Report	☐ all audit procedures and testing performed <u>for each audited condition</u>
details of	▶ If sampling is performed, a sampling methodology should be included detailing the rationale for
procedures,	determining the representative sample size and composition
testing and	☐ the results of audit testing <u>for each audited condition</u>
results	$\Box$ the results of audit testing <u>for all remedial work plans assessed</u> (if applicable)
	$\square$ a compliance outcome <u>for each audited condition and sub-condition</u> (if relevant)
Example of	☐ An example of how the assurance practitioner's audit opinion will be presented in the Audit Report
compliance	▶ The audit opinion must state whether the Applicant(s) have or have not complied with each audited
opinion to appear	condition and/or sub-condition
in Audit Report	► Treasury's expectation is that a conclusion of 'compliant' will only be reached if the Applicant is fully compliant with the condition for the entirety of the audit period
	Where an assurance practitioner has determined a condition to be compliant through the operation of a condition-mandated exemption in the NON/EC, this should be identified and explained
	Where an assurance practitioner has determined a condition to be compliant on the basis that the Applicant(s) have used 'best endeavours' to ensure an activity has/has not occurred, this should be identified and explained

## Submitting remediation work programs ('remediation action plans')

The checklist below lists the Treasury's current expectations on the overall approach and form and content to be included in a remedial work program submitted for our consideration.

Further explanatory information and guidance has also been included below to provide further clarity on the Treasury's expectations of Audit Proposals and subsequent reports (denoted by ►).

Form of document		
Document ownership	☐ The work program is to be prepared by the investor(s) and involve commitment(s) to action directly by the investor(s).  ▶ While investor(s) may seek the assistance of an assurance firm or other expert to assist with designing the remedial activities covered by the program (see below), the program must be the submission of the investor(s) (as opposed to a document submitting the suggested activities identified by a third party).	
Format	☐ The work program must be submitted as a separate and standalone document to the Audit Report (though it may be submitted at the same time as the Audit report if convenient – otherwise submission is required within the timeframe specified by the NON or EC)	

Identification of action(s)		
Details of remedial activity	□ Details of specific remedial activity that will be undertaken by the investor(s) to return to compliance, and the timeframes for each activity, mapped to each condition the activity addresses.  ► It is the responsibility of the investor(s) to ensure that the remedial action(s) proposed will bring them back to compliance. Investor(s) should therefore ensure the design of the remedial activities are sufficient  ► In its review of a condition-mandated audit, the Treasury may identify further non-compliance to be included by investor(s) in their work programs. The Treasury will advise the investor(s) if this is the case	